Local Government

	1.1 GE	ENERAL GOVER	RNMENT – EFFIG	CIENCY	
	2004	2003	2002	2001*	
	16.9%	18.2%	16.88%	0.00	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs operating costs	s for governance	and corporate m	nanagement as a	percentage of t	otal municipal
Objective Efficient munici	pal government.				
Notes					
* Report results for was selected in 200	•	asure for local goverr	nment based on gove	ernance and corpora	ate management
SLC 91 0203 13 F	inancial Information I	Return.			

Fire Services

	2.	1 FIRE SERVIC	ES – EFFICIENC	Y	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	\$.84	\$0.64	\$1.07	\$0.57	N/A
Efficiency Mea Operating costs		s per \$1,000 of as	ssessment.		
Objective Efficient fire ser	vices.				
Notes					
SLC 91 1101 13 F	inancial Information	Return.			

Police Services

	3.1	POLICE SERVI	CES - EFFICIEN	
	2004	2003	2002	2001
	\$65.12	\$61.41	\$42.50	\$64.46
Efficiency Mea Operating costs	i sure s for police servic	es per househo	d.	
Objective Efficient police	services.			
Notes				
SLC 91 1202 13 F	inancial Information F			
			CRIME RATE	
	2004	2003	2002	2001
	4.77	9.39	2.35	N/A
Notes SLC 92 1258 07 F	inancial Information F		Y CRIME RATE	
	2004	2003	2002	2001
	2.39	21.13	9.39	N/A
Effectiveness Property crime Objective Safe communit	rate per 1,000 pe	ersons.		
Property crime Objective	rate per 1,000 pe	ersons.		

		3.4 TOTAL C	CRIME RATE		
	2004	2003	2002		
	11.93	107.98	16.43		
Effectiveness I Total crime rate		ons (Criminal Coo	de offences exc	luding traffic)	
Objective Safe Communit					
Notes					
New formula was ir SLC 92 1263 07 Fi	itroduced in 2002. nancial Information I	Return. 3.5 YOUTHS	S CHARGED		
	2004	2003	2002		
	0.00	33.33	0.0		
Effectiveness I Number of yout	Measure hs charged per 1	I,000 youths.			
Objective Safe Communit	ies.				
-	ies.				
Safe Communit					

Roads

Roaus		.1 PAVED ROAI	DS - FEEICIEN	CY	
	2004	2003	2002		
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx		
	ΨΛΙΛΛ	ψλιλλ	ψλιλοί		
Efficiency Mea	isure				
Operating costs	s for paved (har	d top) roads per la	ane kilometre.		
Objective					
•	enance of paved	roads.			
Notes					
New formula was i	ntroduced in 2002.				
	inancial Information	Return.			
		UNPAVED RO	ADS – EFFICIE	NCY	
	2004	2003	2002		
	\$1610.25	\$1282.35	\$1221.47	1	
Efficiency Mea					
Operating costs	s for unpaved (lo	oose top) roads p	er lane kilometr	e.	
Objective					
Efficient mainte	enance of unpav	ed roads.			
Notes					
New formula was i	ntroduced in 2002.				
	inancial Information	Return.			
010012100101				FEFICIENOV	
	4.3 WINTER 2004	R MAINTENANC 2003	= OF ROADS - 2002	EFFICIENCY	
	\$566.39	\$593.15	\$576.52	-	
		φ000.10	Ψ070.0Z		
Efficiency Mea					
Operating costs	s for winter main	tenance of roadv	vays per lane ki	lometre maintai	ned in winter.
Objective					
•	maintenance of	roads.			
Notes					
INDIG2					
NOLES					
NULES					
	atroduced in 2000				
New formula was i	ntroduced in 2002.				

	4.4	4 ADEQUACY (OF PAVED ROA	DS	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	x%	x%	x%	x%	-
Effectiveness Percentage of p		etres where the	condition is rated	d as good to ver	y good.
Objective Pavement conc	lition meets mur	icipal objectives			
Notes					
SLC 92 2152 07 F	inancial Information		ENT RESPONSE	S	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A%
service levels for Objective		ance.	e met or exceede	ed locally determ	ined municipal
Notes					
SLC 92 2251 07 F	inancial Information	Return. Description	of measure has cha	nged, but not its cal	culation.

Conventional Transit

	5.1 <u>COI</u>	NVENTIONAL T	RANSIT – EFFI	CIENCY	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx
Efficiency Mea	isure s for conventional	transit per regu	llar service pass	enger trip.	
Objective Efficient conve	ntional transit ser	vices.			
Notes					
	inancial Information F	Doturn			
SEC 91 2301 13 F					
	5.2 CC	ONVENTIONAL	TRANSIT RIDFI	RSHIP	
	5.2 CC 2004	DNVENTIONAL 2003	TRANSIT RIDE	RSHIP 2001	2000
					2000 x.x
Objective	2004 x.x	2003 x.x	2002 x.x	2001 x.x	X.X
Number of con Objective Maximum utiliz	2004 x.x Measure ventional transit p	2003 x.x	2002 x.x	2001 x.x	X.X
Number of con Objective	2004 x.x Measure ventional transit p	2003 x.x	2002 x.x	2001 x.x	X.X
Number of con Objective Maximum utiliz	2004 x.x Measure ventional transit p	2003 x.x	2002 x.x	2001 x.x	X.X

Wastewater (Sewage)

	6.1 WAS				
-	2004	2003			
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
Efficiency Mea	SURA				
•		on of wastewater p	er kilometre of	wastewater ma	ain.
Objective	al waatowator	alloction convisor			
		collection services			
Notes					
		so that connections w		total kilometres of v	vastewater mains
•		ssible unless results a	re recast.		
SLC 91 3106 13 Fi	nancial Information	Return			
		ER TREATMENT	AND DISPOS	AL – EFFICIEN	CY
			AND DISPOS	AL – EFFICIEN 2001	CY
	2 WASTEWAT	ER TREATMENT			CY
6.	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx	ER TREATMENT 2003	2002	2001	CY
6. Efficiency Meas	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx	2002 \$x.xx	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure	ER TREATMENT 2003	2002 \$x.xx	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx	2002 \$x.xx	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatme	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective Efficient municip	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatme	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective Efficient municip	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatme	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatme	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective Efficient municip	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatme	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective Efficient municip Notes	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatment oal wastewater t	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective Efficient municip Notes One megalitre equa	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatment oal wastewater to bal wastewater to ls 1,000,000 litres of	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of treatment and disp or 1,000 cubic metres.	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective Efficient municip Notes One megalitre equa	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatment oal wastewater to bal wastewater to ls 1,000,000 litres of hancial Information	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of creatment and disp or 1,000 cubic metres. Return.	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective Efficient municip Notes One megalitre equa	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatment bal wastewater to ls 1,000,000 litres of hancial Information 6.3 WASTEW	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of reatment and disp or 1,000 cubic metres. Return.	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe bosal services.	2001 \$x.xx er megalitre.	
6. Efficiency Meas Operating costs Objective Efficient municip Notes	2 WASTEWAT 2004 \$x.xx sure for the treatment oal wastewater to bal wastewater to ls 1,000,000 litres of hancial Information	ER TREATMENT 2003 \$x.xx nt and disposal of creatment and disp or 1,000 cubic metres. Return.	2002 \$x.xx wastewater pe	2001 \$x.xx	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (Integrated System).

Objective

Efficient wastewater system (Integrated System).

Notes

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3105 13 Financial Information Return.

6.4	WASTEWATE	R MAIN BACKU	PS	
2004	2003	2002	2001	
X.XX	X.XX	X.XX	X.XX	

Effectiveness Measure

Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year.

Objective

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

Notes

SLC 92 3154 07 Financial Information Return.

6.5 WA	STEWATER BY	PASSES TREA	TMENT	
2004	2003	2002	2001	
x.xxx%	x.xxx%	x.xxx%	x.xxx%	

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.

Objective

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

Notes

SLC 92 3155 07 Financial Information Return.

Storm Water

	2004	2003			
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx]		
Efficiency Mea Operating costs kilometre of dra	s for urban storm	water managen	nent (collection,	treatment, dispo	osal) per
Objective	storm water mar	agement.			
Notes					
urban drainage sys Reported by munic	inator was changed tem. Comparison w ipalities with a separ inancial Information	ith 2002 is not poss ate storm water syst	ble unless results for		ai kiiometres ot
	7.2 RURAL S	FORM WATER	MANAGEMENT	- EFFICIENCY	
	2004	2003			
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx			
kilometre of dra Objective	s for rural storm	·	ent (collection, tr	eatment, dispos	al) per
Notes					
	inator was changed		and catch basins we		al kilometres of
	em. Comparison wit				
ural drainage syste	em. Comparison wit ipalities with a separ	·	em.		

Drinking Water

	8.1 DRINK	KING WATER TH	REATMENT – E	FFICIENCY	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective		nt of drinking wa	ter per megalitre) .	
Efficient munici	pal water treatm	ent services.			
Notes					
140163					
1 megalitre equals	1,000,000 litres or 1	000 cubic metres			
c .	inancial Information				
				EFICIENCY	
	2004				
		200.5			
	\$x.xx	2003 \$x.xx			
Objective	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	ater per kilomet	re of water distr	ibution pipe.
Operating costs Objective Efficient munici	\$x.xx sure s for the distribut	\$x.xx	ater per kilomet	re of water distr	ibution pipe.
Operating costs Objective	\$x.xx sure s for the distribut	\$x.xx	ater per kilomet	re of water distr	ibution pipe.
Operating costs Objective Efficient munici	\$x.xx sure s for the distribut	\$x.xx	ater per kilomet	re of water distr	ibution pipe.
Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes In 2003, the denom	\$x.xx sure s for the distribut pal water distribu	\$x.xx	and hydrants were	included with total	
Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes	\$x.xx sure s for the distribut pal water distribu	\$x.xx ion of drinking w ution services.	and hydrants were	included with total	
Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes In 2003, the denom distribution pipe. C SLC 91 3306 13 Fi	\$x.xx s for the distribut pal water distribut ninator was changed comparison is not po inancial Information	\$x.xx ion of drinking w ution services. so that connections ssible with earlier yea Return.	and hydrants were ars unless those res	included with total ults are recast.	kilometres of wate
Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes In 2003, the denom distribution pipe. C SLC 91 3306 13 Fi	\$x.xx s for the distribut pal water distribut ninator was changed comparison is not po inancial Information	\$x.xx ion of drinking w ution services.	and hydrants were ars unless those res	included with total ults are recast.	kilometres of wate

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment and distribution of drinking water per megalitre. (Integrated System)

Objective

Efficient municipal water system (Integrated System).

Notes

1 megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3305 13 Financial Information Return.

8.4 BOIL WATER ADVISORIES						
	2004	2003	2002	2001		
	X.XXXX	X.XXXX	X.XXXX	X.XXXX		

Effectiveness Measure

Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the Medical Officer of Health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.

Objective

Water is safe and meets local needs.

Notes

SLC 92 3355 07 Financial Information Return.

8.5 BREAKS IN WATER MAINS						
2004	2003	2002	2001			
X.XX	X.XX	X.XX	X.XX			

Effectiveness Measure

Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.

Objective

Improve system reliability.

Notes

SLC 92 3356 07 Financial Information Return.

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

		2002	2002	2001	
	2004	2003			
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	
Efficiency Mea	asure				
•	s for garbage col	lection per tonne	or per househo	ld. (Specify)	
	0 0	·	·		
Objective	ipal garbage colle	action services			
	ipai yai baye coli	ection services.			
Notes					
SLC 91 3402 13 F	inancial Information	Return.			
	9.2 (GARBAGE DISP	OSAL – EFFICIE	INCY	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
Objective	\$118.38 asure s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp		\$29.81 hold.	\$46.08	
Operating cost Objective Efficient munic	asure s for garbage dis	posal per house		\$46.08	
Operating cost Objective Efficient munic Notes	asure s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp	posal per house		\$46.08	
Operating cost Objective Efficient munic Notes	asure s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp	posal per house oosal services. Return.	hold.		
Operating cost Objective Efficient munic Notes	s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp inancial Information	posal per house oosal services. Return. STE DIVERSIO	hold. N (RECYCLING)	- EFFICIENCY	
Operating cost Objective Efficient munic Notes	asure s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp	posal per house oosal services. Return.	hold.		

9.4 \$	SOLID WAST <u>E N</u>		(INTEGRATED S	SYSTEM) – <u>EF</u> I	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	
• •		•	ement (collection	, disposal and o	liversion) per
Objective Efficient munici	pal solid waste n	nanagement (Int	egrated System)		
Notes					
SLC 91 3603 13 Fi	inancial Information I 9.5 COMF	PLAINTS — COI	LLECTION OF C	GARBAGE	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	0.0	2.5063	0.0	0.0	-
materials per 1, Objective			ning the collectic ycled materials.	n of garbage a	nd recycled
Notes			-		
SLC 92 3452 07 Fi	inancial Information I	Return.			

	2004	2003	2002	2	2001	
	1	1	1		1	
Effectiveness M	easure					
Total number of Environment Cer		•	lities owned	by municipal	ity with a Min	istry of
Objective Context for solid	waste manage	ment facility co	ompliance m	easure.		
Notes						
SLC 92 3552 07 Fin	ancial Information	Return.				
		9.7 FACILIT	Y COMPLIA	NCE		
Effectiveness M Number of days concerning an ai management fac	per year when r or groundwate					
Objective Municipal solid w	aste services o	do not have an	adverse imp	pact on envir	onment.	
Facility Name			Days 2004	Days 2003	Days 2002	Days 2001
Johnson/Tarbutt	Landfill		0	0	0	x
						-

Please delete un-used rows.

Notes

Facility Name: SLC 92 3553 03 to SLC 92 3560 03

Days: SLC 92 3553 07 to SLC 92 3560 07

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2004 FIR.

	9.8 DIVE	RSION OF RES	IDENTIAL SOLI	OWASTE	
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	x.x%	x.x%	x.x%	x.x%	
Effectiveness Percentage of I	Measure residential solid w	aste diverted fo	r recycling.		
Objective Municipal solid	waste reduction	programs divert	waste from land	fills and/or incin	erators.
Notes					
SLC 92 3655 07 F	inancial Information R	eturn.			
_	9.9 DIVER	RSION OF RES	IDENTIAL SOLI	D WASTE	
(Based on	Combined Resid				al Tonna
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	6.60%	6.6%	5.22%	.87%	
Effectiveness Percentage of I	residential solid w		r recycling ommercial/Institu	utional tonnage.)
(based on com Objective	waste reduction	orograms divert	waste from land	fills and/or incin	erators.
(based on com Objective Municipal solid		programs divert	waste from land	fills and/or incin	erators.
(based on com Objective		programs divert	waste from land	fills and/or incin	erators.
(based on com Objective Municipal solid		orograms divert	waste from land	fills and/or incin	erators.
(based on com Objective Municipal solid Notes			waste from land	fills and/or incin	erators.

Parks and Recreation

	2004	10.1 PARKS – EFFICIENCY	
	\$N/A		
Efficiency Mea Operating costs	s for parks per pe	erson.	
Objective Efficient operat	ion of parks.		
Notes			
SLC 91 7101 13 F	inancial Information	Return.	
	10.2 RE	CREATION PROGRAMS – EFFICIENCY	
	2004 \$N/A		
Objective		programs per person.	
Notes		p. og. a o.	
SLC 91 7201 13 F participating in recr	eation programs.	Return. This measure is based on total population, not the p	population
Efficiency Mea	sure	acilities per person.	
Objective Efficient operat	ion of recreation	facilities.	
Notes			
SLC 91 7301 13 F	inancial Information	Return.	

10.4 PARKS, RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) – EFFICIENCY								
	2004							
	\$32.88							
Efficiency Mea Operating costs		ation programs	and recreation fa	acilities per perso	on (Subtotal).			
Objective Efficient operat	ion of parks, recr	eation program	s and recreation	facilities.				
Notes								
	y reports one or more	e efficiency measur	ure represents a subt es for parks and recre OR RECREATIO	eation.				
Effectiveness Total participan		ation programs	per 1,000 person	IS.				
Objective Recreation prog	Objective Recreation programs serve needs of residents.							
Notes								
SLC 92 7255 07 F	inancial Information I	Return.						
The denominator o participants in recre		al population divided	by 1,000 and does	not represent the nu	mber of			

		10.6 OPE	N SPACE						
	2004								
	N/A								
	Effectiveness Measure Hectares of open space.								
Objective									
-	adequate for pop	oulation.							
SLC 92 7151 05 F	inancial Information	Return.							
	2004								
	N/A								
Effectiveness Hectares of ope	Measure en space per 1,0	00 persons.							
SLC 92 7151 07 F	inancial Information	Return.							
Notes									

10.7 TRAILS									
	2004								
	N/A								
	Effectiveness Measure Total kilometres of trails.								
Objective Trails provide re	ecreation opport	unities.							
SLC 92 7152 05 Fi	nancial Information I	Return.							
	2004 N/A								
Effectiveness I Total kilometres		00 persons.							
SLC 92 7152 07 Fi	nancial Information I	Return.							
SLC 92 7152 07 Financial Information Return. Notes									

	10.	8 RECREATION	N FACILITY SPA	CE				
	2004							
	Х							
Effectiveness Measure Square metres of recreation facility space.								
Objective Recreation facil	ity space is adeo	quate for populat	tion.					
SLC 92 7355 05 F	inancial Information I	Return.						
	2004 0.00							
Effectiveness Square metres		ility space per 1,	000 persons.					
SLC 92 7355 07 F	inancial Information I	Return.						
Notes								

Parks and Recreation

	11.1 LIBR	ARY COSTS PE	R PERSON – EI	FFICIENCY	
	2004				
	\$4.98				
Efficiency Meas Operating costs		person.			
Objective Efficient library s	services.				
Notes					
SLC 91 7401 13 Fir					
		BRARY COSTS	PER USE – EFF	ICIENCY	
-	2004				
	\$39.40				
Efficiency Meas Operating costs		use.			
Objective Efficient library s	services				
Notes					
SLC 91 7402 13 Fir	nancial Information I	Return.			

11.3 LIBRARY USES							
	2004						
	0.126						
Effectiveness	Measure						
Library uses pe	er person.						
Objective							
Increased use	of library service	S.					
Notes							
SLC 92 7460 07 F	inancial Information	Return.					
	11	.4 ELECTRON	C LIBRARY US	ES			
	2004						
	x%						
Effectiveness Measure							
Electronic libra	ry uses as a perc	centage of total I	brary uses.				
Objective							
Increased use	of library service	S.					
Notes							
SLC 92 7461 07 F	inancial Information	Return.					
		NON-ELECTRO		JSES			
	2004 x%	-					
	X /0						
Effectiveness Measure Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.							
	library uses as a	percentage of to	otal library uses.				
Objective Bottor informati	ion on libron (uoc						
Beller mormal	ion on library usa	age.					
Notes							
SLC 92 7462 07 F	inancial Information	Return.					

Land Use Planning

	404				
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	N/A%	100%	100%	100%	
Effectiveness Percentage of settlement are	f new lots, block	ks and/or units	with final appro	oval which are	located within
Objective	n is occurring in s	settlement areas			
			•		
Notes					
SI C 92 8154 07 F	inancial Information R	Peturn			
	12.2 PRESERVA				4
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Notes	f agricultural land.				
SLC 92 8163 07 F	inancial Information F	Return.			
12.3	3 PRESERVATIO	ON OF AGRICU	LTURAL LAND	RELATIVE TO 2	2000
	2004	2003			
	2004		2002	2001	
	100%	100%	2002 100%	2001 100%	
uses relative to Objective	100%	100% or agricultural pu 2000.	100%	100%	
Percentage of I uses relative to Objective	100% Measure land designated for the base year of	100% or agricultural pu 2000.	100%	100%	
Percentage of I uses relative to Objective Preservation of Notes	100% Measure land designated for the base year of	100% or agricultural pu 2000.	100%	100%	

			CULTURAL HEC		G 2004
-	2004	2003	2002	2001	_
	0	0	0	0	
	lleasure ares of land origin or other uses durir		•	ourposes which	was
Objective Preservation of	agricultural land.				
Notes					
SLC 92 8165 07 Fi	nancial Information Re	eturn.			
12.5	CHANGE IN NUM	IBER OF AGR	ICULTURAL HE	CTARES SINCE	2000
	2004	2003	2002	2001	
	0	0	0	0	
Effectiveness M	leasure				
Number of hecta	ares of land origin	ally designated	I for agricultural p	ourposes which	was
re-designated for	or other uses since	e January 1, 20	000.		
Objective Preservation of	agricultural land.				
Preservation of	agricultural land.				
-	agricultural land.				
Preservation of	agricultural land.				
Preservation of Notes	agricultural land.	eturn.			