

## Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name Glenn Martin Phone 705-782-6776  
Title Clerk-Treasurer  
Municipality Township of Tarbutt & Tarbutt Additional  
E-mail tarbutttownship@bellnet.ca

## Related documents and links

Tarbutt Municipal Website: [www.tarbutttownship.com](http://www.tarbutttownship.com)

## Local Government

Glenn Martin, Clerk-Treasurer

1.1 GENERAL GOVERNMENT – EFFICIENCY		
2007	2006	2005
6.5%	19.9%	20.2%

### Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.

### Objective

Efficient municipal government.

### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

## Fire Services

Glenn Martin, Clerk-Treasurer

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY		
2007	2006	2005
\$0.85	\$0.90	\$0.83

### Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.

### Objective

Efficient fire services.

### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

# Police Services

Glenn Martin, Clerk-Treasurer

## 3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

2007	2006	2005
\$111.66	\$77.99	\$50.07

### Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for police services per person.

### Objective

Efficient police services.

### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

## 3.2 VIOLENT CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
0%	7.0%	4.7%	4.8%	9.4%

### Effectiveness Measure

Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.

### Objective

Safe communities.

### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

## 3.3 PROPERTY CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
0%	11.7%	14.0%	2.4%	21.1%

### Effectiveness Measure

Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.

### Objective

Safe communities.

### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

## 3.4 TOTAL CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
0%	21.0%	11.7%	11.9%	10.8%

### Effectiveness Measure

Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (Criminal Code offences, excluding traffic).

**Objective**

Safe Communities.

**Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results**

**3.5 YOUTH CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS**

<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
N/A	N/A	N/A

**Effectiveness Measure**

Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.

**Objective**

Safe Communities.

**Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results**

## Roads

**CONTACT PERSON**

Glenn Martin, Clerk-Treasurer

**4.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY**

<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
\$N/A	\$x.xx	\$x.xx

**Efficiency Measure**

Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.

**Objective**

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

**Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results**

**THERE ARE NO HARD TOP ROADS IN TARBUTT TOWNSHIP**

**4.2 UNPAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY**

<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
\$1,242.02	\$1,198.58	\$1,328.15

**Efficiency Measure**

Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.

**Objective**

Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.

**Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results**

#### 4.3 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY

2007	2006	2005
\$461.90	\$443.92	\$488.17

##### Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.

##### Objective

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

##### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

#### 4.5 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES - EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

##### Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.

##### Objective

Appropriate response to winter storm events.

##### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

## Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

### CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin, Clerk-Treasurer

#### 9.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY

2007	2006	2005
\$90.82	\$86.76	\$92.06

##### Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for garbage disposal per household.

##### Objective

Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.

##### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

### 9.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY

2007	2006	2005
\$7.07	\$6.03	\$6.08

#### Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per household.

#### Objective

Efficient municipal solid waste diversion (recycling) services.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

### 8.5 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
1	1	1	1	1

#### Effectiveness Measure

Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval.

#### Objective

Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

### 9.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE - EFFECTIVENESS

#### Effectiveness Measure

Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

#### Objective

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

Facility Name	Days 2007	Days 2006	Days 2005	Days 2004	Days 2003
Johnson Tarbutt Landfill Site	0	0	0	0	0

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Performance

**9.9 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE**  
(Based on Combined Residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional Tonnage)  
**EFFECTIVENESS**

<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>
10.5%	17.5%	12.2%	6.6%	6.6%

**Effectiveness Measure**

Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling  
(Based on combined residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional tonnage).

**Objective**

Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.

**Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results**

## Parks and Recreation

**CONTACT PERSON**

Glenn Martin, Clerk-Treasurer

**10.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY**

<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
\$31.46	\$38.09	\$31.73

**Efficiency Measure**

Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.

**Objective**

Efficient operation of recreation facilities.

**Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results**

## Libraries

**CONTACT PERSON**

Glenn Martin

### 11.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENCY

2007	2006	2005
\$4.74	\$4.44	\$4.44

#### Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for library services per person.

#### Objective

Efficient library services.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

We have an Agreement with the Sault Ste. Marie Public Library. We receive a provincial grant annually that we in turn give to this Library.

## Land Use Planning

#### CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin, Clerk-Treasurer

### 12.1 LOCATION OF NEW DEVELOPMENT - EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

#### Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.

#### Objective

New residential development is occurring within settlement areas.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

### 12.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DURING REPORTING YEAR EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
100%	100%	100%	100x%	100%

#### Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

#### Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

### 12.3 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND RELATIVE TO 2000

#### EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

#### Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.

#### Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

#### 12.4 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES DURING REPORTING YEAR

#### EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
0	0	0	0	0

#### Effectiveness Measure

Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was Re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

#### Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

#### 12.5 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES SINCE 2000

#### EFFECTIVENESS

2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
0	0	0	0	0

#### Effectiveness Measure

Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was Re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.

#### Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results