

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name Glenn Martin Phone 705-782-6776
Title Clerk – Treasurer
Municipality Tarbutt & Tarbutt Additional
E-mail tarbutttownship@bellnet.ca

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin

1.1 GENERAL GOVERNMENT – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
12.4%	6.5%	19.9%	20.2%

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.

Objective

Efficient municipal government.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

The formula for the local government measure was changed in 2007.

SLC 91 0205 13 Financial Information Return.

Fire Services

CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
\$1.05	\$0.85	\$0.90	\$0.83

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.

Objective

Efficient fire services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 1102 13 Financial Information Return.

Police Services

CONTACT PERSON

3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
\$57.98	\$11.66	\$77.99	\$50.07

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for police services per person.

Objective

Efficient police services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 1203 13 Financial Information Return.

3.2 VIOLENT CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	4.7%	4.8%

Effectiveness Measure

Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Safe communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 1258 07 Financial Information Return.

3.3 PROPERTY CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
7.0%	0.0%	11.7%	14.0%	2.4%

Effectiveness Measure

Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Safe communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 1259 07 Financial Information Return.

3.4 TOTAL CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
7.0%	0.0%	21.0%	11.7%	11.9%

Effectiveness Measure

Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (Criminal Code offences, excluding traffic).

Objective

Safe Communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 1263 07 Financial Information Return.

3.5 YOUTH CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005
0	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure

Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.

Objective

Safe Communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

The formula for youth crime was changed in 2005 to include youths who receive pre-charge resolutions such as warnings and cautions.

SLC 92 1265 07 Financial Information Return.

Roads

CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin

4.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.

Objective

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

There are no paved roads in the Township

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 2107 13 Financial Information Return.

4.2 UNPAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
\$1881.85	\$1242.02	\$1198.58	\$1328.15

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.

Objective

Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 2108 13 Financial Information Return.

4.3 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
\$506.14	\$461.90	\$443.92	\$488.17

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.

Objective

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 2204 13 Financial Information Return.

4.5 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES - EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.

Objective

Appropriate response to winter storm events.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 2251 07 Financial Information Return.

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin

9.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
\$127.10	\$90.82	\$86.76	\$92.05

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for garbage disposal per household.

Objective

Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 3503 13 Financial Information Return.

9.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
\$9.21	\$7.07	\$6.03	\$6.08

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per household.

Objective

Efficient municipal solid waste diversion (recycling) services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 3604 13 Financial Information Return.

8.5 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
1	1	1	1	1

Effectiveness Measure

Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval.

Objective

Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3552 07 Financial Information Return.

9.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE - EFFECTIVENESS

Effectiveness Measure

Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

Objective

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

Facility Name	Days 2008	Days 2007	Days 2006	Days 2005	Days 2004
Johnson – Tarbutt Landfill	0	0	0	0	0

Please delete un-used rows

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Performance

Facility Name: SLC 92 3553 03 to SLC 92 3560 03

Days: SLC 92 3553 07 to SLC 92 3560 07

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2008 FIR.

9.9 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE (Based on Combined Residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional Tonnage) EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
20%	10.5%	17.5%	12.2%	6.6%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling
(based on combined residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional tonnage).

Objective

Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3656 07 Financial Information Return.

Parks and Recreation

CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin

10.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
------	------	------	------

\$38.05	\$31.46	\$38.09	\$31.73
---------	---------	---------	---------

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation facilities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 7303 13 Financial Information Return.

**10.4 RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL)
EFFICIENCY**

2008	2007
\$38.05	\$32.39

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation programs and recreation facilities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

This measure represents a subtotal and is automatically completed when a municipality reports one or more efficiency measures for parks and recreation.

SLC 91 7305 13 Financial Information Return.

Libraries

CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin

11.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENCY

2008	2007	2006	2005
\$4.91	\$4.74	\$4.44	\$4.44

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for library services per person.

Objective

Efficient library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 7403 13 Financial Information Return.

Land Use Planning

CONTACT PERSON

Glenn Martin

11.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DURING REPORTING YEAR EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 8163 07 Financial Information Return.

11.3 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND RELATIVE TO 2000 EFFECTIVENESS

2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

12.4 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES DURING REPORTING YEAR

EFFECTIVENESS				
2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
0	0	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure

Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

12.5 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES SINCE 2000

EFFECTIVENESS				
2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
0	0	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure

Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results